# Lot 131 Canterbury Estate Stage 5 Jackass Flat

Site Classification for Arbor Estates

> Report 17C 0733 November, 2017





### Lot 131 Canterbury Estate Stage 5, Jackass Flat

### Site Classification for Arbor Estate

Revision

Revision	Date	Authorised
17C 0733 (Lot 131)	10/11/17	SEH

#### Distribution (this revision only)

Recipient	Format	Date
GTSS	On file	10/11/17
Arbor Estates Attn: Darren Pitson	Email PDF darren@kpdgroup.com.au	10/11/17

Sandhurst Geotech Pty Ltd, Trading as Geotechnical Testing Services - Southern. ABN: 18 169 924 109 ACN: 169 924 109

Email: info@geotestsouthern.com.au; Ph: 03 5441 4881; Fax: 03 5441 5089; Mail Address: PO Box 13, STRATHDALE, Vic, 3550 Offices: • La Trobe University Applied Science 2 Building 7 Sharon Street, Flora Hill, VIC 3550; • Shed 3, 140 Ogilvie Avenue, Echuca , VIC 3654,

Geotechnical Investigations 
Contract Drilling 
Land Capability Assessments 
Contamination Assessments 
Compaction Control Testing 
On-site Wastewater
Management 
Site Classifications 
Residential and Industrial 
Soil, Concrete and Aggregate Testing Laboratories 
Site Laboratory
Construction Material Testing Services 
www.geotestsouthern.com.au

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

Arbor Estates commissioned Geotechnical Testing Services to conduct a geotechnical investigation for the proposed residential development located at Lot 131 Canterbury Estate Stage 5, Jackass Flat.

The investigation has been conducted for the purpose of assessing general subsurface conditions at the site and consequently assigning a Site Classification in accordance with AS2870 – 2011 "Residential Slabs and Footings".

#### 2 INVESTIGATION

The investigation was conducted by a technician on the 1<sup>st</sup> of November, 2017 using a vehicle mounted drill-rig and drilling 2 boreholes to depths of 1.5 to 3.0 metres within the designated area. The subsequent soil profiles are presented in page 6 and the location of the boreholes are presented on page 7.

At the time of this investigation, the type of development proposed is unknown to GTS.

#### 3 SITE CONDITIONS

It is noted that the site has been filled under controlled conditions (supervised and tested by GTSS). At the time of the investigation the surface of the site was moist with no grass cover. There are no trees in the immediate vicinity. There was no visual evidence of surface cracking or surface rock. No groundwater seepage was encountered over the investigated depths.

Full details of soil conditions are presented in the borehole logs.

#### 4 SITE CLASSIFICATION

After allowing due consideration to the site geology, soil conditions, the controlled fill (GTSS Report 17C 0516), drainage and known details of the proposed structure, the site has been classified as **Class M-D** (AS2870 – 2011).

Foundations designed in accordance with this classification are to be subject to the overriding conditions of Section 5 below.

#### 5 DISCUSSION

Particular attention should be paid to the design of footings as required by AS2870 – 2011.

In addition to the normal founding requirements arising from the above classification, particular conditions at the site dictate that the founding medium and minimum depth below existing surface levels for all footings should be as follows:

• CONTROLLED FILL: Gravelly Silty CLAY, low plasticity, pale brown, stiff At depths below 0.1 metres

An allowable bearing pressure of 100kPa is available for edge beams, strips and stump footings founded as above. All foundations should extend a minimum of 100mm into the above founding material.

The proposed dwelling should be located a minimum distance of 1 x the mature height of all trees. This distance can be increased by 50 % for groups or lines of trees. If this distance is impeded then the size and distance from the dwelling of the tree(s) needs to be taken into account when designing the foundation.

#### 6 IMPORTANT NOTES ABOUT THIS REPORT

• The site classification presented in Section 4 assumes that the current natural drainage and infiltration conditions at the site will not be markedly affected by the proposed site development work. Care should therefore be taken to ensure that surface water is not permitted to collect adjacent to the structure and that significant changes to seasonal soil moisture equilibria do not develop as a result of service trench construction or tree root action.

• Attention is drawn to Appendix B of AS 2870 and CSIRO document, BTF 18 – "Foundation Maintenance and Footing Performance: A Homeowner's Guide" as a guide to maintenance requirement for the proposed structure.

• This is not a comprehensive investigation nor is it economic or practical to determine every subsurface feature on the site. Although this investigation indicates that soil conditions are relatively uniform across the site, it is recommended that the base of all footing excavations be inspected to ensure that the founding medium meets that requirements referenced herein with respect to type and strength of founding materials. If further variations in descriptions in soil types, colour or depths are discovered during construction, this office should be notified immediately so that potential influence on the footings may be assessed.

• The soil colours provided in the borelogs attached may vary with soil moisture content and individual interpretation, therefore colour alone should not be used to identify these soils.

• Strength characteristics of soils often exhibit a large variation between wet and dry conditions. Soil characteristics of a soil profile are given on the soil conditions at the time of the investigation.

• In the event of significant earthworks being undertaken on the site after this investigation, this report may require an amendment if appropriate.

Should you have any further queries concerning these results, please do not hesitate in contacting this office on 5441 4881

Hungton

Shane Hampton BE (Hons) Senior Geotechnical Engineer

Enclosed Borehole Logs (Page 6) Site Map (Page 7)




## **Borehole Logs**

Client:	Arbor Estates	Borehole Log No.:	1-3
		Report Number:	17C 0733
		Date Drilled:	1/11/17
Project:	Lot 131 Canterbury Estate Stage 5, Jackass Flat	Logged By:	TP
		Drilling Method	AS

Profile (mm):	* Structure: (see key)	Material Description:	Moisture Description:	Cohesion Density:	Plasticity:	Testing / Sampling:
0		BH1				
То	Fill	Gravelly Sandy SILT	D	L	-	
100		Dark brown				
То	Controlled	Gravelly Silty CLAY	М	St	Low	
300	Fill	Pale brown				
То		Silty CLAY	М	VSt	Medium	
800	Soil	Brown, some gravel				
То	Profile	Gravelly Sandy CLAY	М	VSt	Low	
1200		Red/brown				
То	Rock	SANDSTONE	D	M/H	-	
1500	NUCK	Extremely weathered, pale red				
0		BH2				
То	Fill	Gravelly Sandy SILT	D	L	-	
100		Dark brown				
То	Controlled	Gravelly Silty CLAY	М	St	Low	
300	Fill	Pale brown				
То		Gravelly Sandy CLAY	D	VSt	Low	
600	Soil	Red/brown				
То	Profile	Silty CLAY	М	VSt-St	Medium	
1800		Brown, pale brown				
То	Rock	SILTSTONE	D	Soft	-	
3000	NUCK	Extremely weathered, pale brown		Rock		

#### Key:

Drilling Method:	Moisture Condition	Cohesion:	Density:	Testing/Sampling:
AS - Auger Screwing	D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose	PP – Pocket Penetrometer
HA - Hand Auger	M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose	V – Hand Vane Sheer
	W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense	DCP – Dynamic Cone Penetrometer
		ST - Stiff	D - Dense	SPT – Standard Penetration Test
		VST - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense	US – Undisturbed Sampling
		H - Hard		DS – Disturbed Sampling
		VH – Very hard		* See notes on borelog location page



GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION	<b>CLIENT:</b> ARBOR ESTATES <b>PROJECT:</b> LOT 131 CANTERBURY ESTATE STAGE 5, JACKASS FLAT		
APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS NOT TO SCALE	GTS REF: 17C 0733	DATE: 6 NOVEMBER 2017	

**BONNINGTON STREET**